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## 1. Introduction

### 1-1. Background of Chemical Soil Stabilization

- Most untreated in-situ soil cannot commonly meet the latest requirements. Stronger pavements with stronger materials have to be used for heavier loadings with higher frequency.

- Those unsuitable in-situ soils are replaced by quarry materials. Apart from environmental impact, this is also difficult and expensive in some regions lacking of quarry materials, such as Singapore. Disposal of in-situ soil is another problem.

- Mixing proper chemicals with in-situ soils to improve/strengthen the soil properties through chemical reactions. In-situ chemical soil stabilization is an proven solution especially in tropic regions.

- Similarly, construction waste can be stabilized and recycled.
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1-2. Process of Chemical Stabilization Application

Photo. 1. In-situ Mixing

- Mechanical Spreading
- Mixing by Stabilizer
- Compaction 1

Photo. 2. Central Mixing Plant and Road Surface after Compaction
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1-3. Commonly Used Chemical Stabilizing Agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Chemical Reaction involved:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ Cementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Hydration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Ion exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Flocculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Precipitation Polymerisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Carbonation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commonly Used Chemical Stabilizing Agents:

| ▶ Cement            |
| ▶ Lime              |
| ▶ Bituminous Materials |
| ▶ Liquid form Stabilizing Agents |
| ▶ Modified Cementitious Chemical – Chemilink |
2. Chemilink Soil/Stone Stabilization – A Green Solution

Chemilink Stabilizing Series Products

- polymer modified cementitious chemical agent, incorporating with bio-chemical and recycled materials, in fine powder form
- designed for soil stabilization especially for sandy and clayey soils under tropical conditions and environment

- have been tried, verified and widely applied in South East Asia Countries and China Since 1994

Basic Functions:

- To increase and maintain the soaking strengths
- To form a semi-rigid platform
- To decrease the permeability and compressibility
- To improve the long-term performance
2. Chemilink Soil/Stone Stabilization – A Green Solution

Total Green Concept

- **Green Product:** Various materials are recycled and utilized, such as agricultural bio-mass, in the fabrication of the product.

- **Green Process:** The application of the stabilizing agents is green as the process reuse in-situ soil, thus minimize the demand on raw granite materials and reduce the removal of the soil as a waste. Besides, with faster construction speed, disturbance to environment and public will be less.

- **Green End-Result:** The stabilized soil is physically and chemically stable under the specified usage and therefore creates no environmental problem.
3. Advantages of Chemical Soil Stabilization

3-1. Better Technical Performances

- Higher strengths
- Can be adjusted to meet different design requirements.
- Structural Number (AASHTO)
- Equivalency Factor (United State FAA)
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3-2. Reduce Demands on Raw Backfilling Materials

- Physical and mechanical properties of in-situ soil can be improved to meet the requirements.
- Less raw backfilling materials are required.

- Benefits:
  Environmental and Ecological friendly;
  Commercially efficient when lacking of raw quarry materials;
  Energy conservation.
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3-3. Minimize Creation of Construction Waste

- Unsuitable in-situ soil can be reused, instead of removed as a construction waste.
- Saving in dumping cost and eliminate illegal dumping.

- Eg: Changi Airport Runway Widening
  Total 21,000 ton of soil to be disposed if using conventional method
  Saving in dumping cost = S$200,000
3. Advantages of Chemical Soil Stabilization

3-4. Faster Construction and Less Disturbance To Environment and Public

- Less excavation of in-situ soil and replacement
- 3-5 times faster than conventional replacement method
- Reduce disruption to publics
- Less environmental pollution such as air, noise and dirt deposit
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### 3-5. Overall Cost Effectiveness

**Short Term Direct Cost Saving:**
- Reduction of raw granite usage
- Easier and faster construction
- Less manpower and machineries required

**Long Term In-direct Cost Effectiveness**
- Much less maintenances
- Longer durability and service life
4. Case Studies of Chemilink Stabilization/Recycling

4-1. Jalan Tutong Widening, Phase II & III (Brunei, 1998)

Photo. 3. Jalan Tutong Widening, Phase II (after more than 4 yrs)
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Photo. 4. Typical Defects Found in Jalan Tutong Phase I
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4-1. Jalan Tutong Widening, Phase III (Brunei, 1998)

a) Opened Road Cross Section          b) Road after 2-year completion

Photo. 5. Jalan Tutong Widening, Phase III
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4-2. City Road Maintenance

a) Road Partially Closed for Maintenance  
b) Road Opened for Use on the Next Day  
c) Cored Samples stabilized Recycled Materials

Photo. 6. City Road Maintenance
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4-3. Singapore Changi International Airport (2005)

Fig. 1. Typical Cross Sections Design for Runway Widening
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4-3. Singapore Changi International Airport (2005)

Fig. 2: Typical Daily Construction Schedule
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4-3. Singapore Changi International Airport (2005)

a) Spreading

b) In-situ Mixing

c) Compaction

Photo 7. Stabilization Work in Changi International Airport
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4-3. Singapore Changi International Airport (2005)

Fig. 3. UCS and CBR Testing Results for Runway-I and Runway-II

UCS (MPa) vs. CBR (%)

Ave. UCS = 3.1 MPa
Ave. CBR = 219.0%
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4-3. Singapore Changi International Airport (2005)

a) Runway I

b) Runway II

Photo 8. Completion of Runway Widening in Changi International Airport (after 3 years)
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4-3. Singapore Changi International Airport (2005)

Snapshot taken from Discovery Channel “Man Made Marvels” Program
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4-4. Sultan Ismail International Airport (Malaysia, 2007)

- A polymer modified cementitious chemical stabilizing agent be used for base course topped by asphalt concrete
- Offering comprehensive advantages and benefits

Fig. 4. Cross Section of Existing Runway Shoulders vs. Widened Section by Chemical Stabilization
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4-4. Sultan Ismail International Airport (Malaysia, 2007)

a) Spreading

b) In-Situ Mixing

c) Compaction

Photo. 9. Stabilization Work in Sultan Ismail International Airport
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4-4. Sultan Ismail International Airport (Malaysia, 2007)

SENAI AIRPORT RUNWAY SHOULDER WIDENING
Soil Investigation Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DEPTH (mm)</th>
<th>INSITU MC (%)</th>
<th>OMC (%)</th>
<th>MDD (Mg/m³)</th>
<th>LL (%)</th>
<th>PI (%)</th>
<th>CLAY&amp;SILT (%)</th>
<th>SAND (%)</th>
<th>GRAVEL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>P6</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>23.59</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54.80</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>P7</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>30.08</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>P8</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>41.63</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70.40</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>P11</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>27.38</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66.80</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>P12</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>38.74</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>82.70</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>P13</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>62.20</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Challenges:

- High clay content
- High moisture content
- High Liquid Limit and Plastic Limit
4. Case Studies of Chemilink Stabilization/Recycling

4-4. Sultan Ismail International Airport (Malaysia, 2007)

Fig. 5. UCS and CBR Testing Results

Average UCS: 2.063 MPa
Average CBR: 123.6%
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4-4. Sultan Ismail International Airport (Malaysia, 2007)

Fig. 6. UCS and Resilient Modulus Testing Results
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4-4. Sultan Ismail International Airport (Malaysia, 2007)

Fig. 7. UCS and Compaction Degree Testing Results

Aveage UCS: 2.071MPa
Average CD: 98.2%
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4-4. Sultan Ismail International Airport (Malaysia, 2007)

Photo 10. Completion of Runway Widening in Senai Airport
5. Conclusions

- Chemical stabilization of unsuitable in-situ soil and construction waste is an effective approach for civil engineering.

- More attention has been paid on the chemical/bio-chemical modified cementitious base stabilizing agents, such as Chemilink Soil/Stone Stabilization because of the effectiveness and durability.

- Chemical stabilization method has solved many technical difficulties, especially the total and differential settlements, at clayey, swampy or low-lying land areas with peaty soils.

- Chemical Soil Stabilization is a “green” approach to infrastructure construction.
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