Symposium on Airfield Infrastructure of Airports – Engineering and Future Development (SAIA2017)

Singapore Aviation Academy, Singapore October 2-3, 2017

Chemical-Soil Stabilization for Sub-Grade Improvement







- **1. Introduction**
- 2. "Floating" Semi-Rigid Platform Effect
- **3. Performances and Durability**
- 4. Case Studies
 - 4-1. Changi Airport Runway Widening
 - 4-2. Senai Airport Runway & Taxiways Widening
- **5.** Conclusions

References



1. Introduction

- The chemical-soil stabilization method is a classical and traditional engineering approach and has been used for past hundreds of years worldwide. It is technically effective for strengthening or stabilizing in-situ soils or mixtures as sub-grade, sub-base and even base courses of various pavements.
- The major functions and advantages of the chemical-soil stabilization are the volume stability; higher strengths and moduli; lower permeability; better durability; simple and fast construction; overall cost effectiveness and proven engineering solution. It is also remarkably green, environmental friendly and sustainable.
- One of the key successful factor for chemical-soil stabilization method is to incorporate with the appropriate chemical binders. As stabilization technique improves especially in quality binders and installation equipment, this method has been widely used in pavement construction in our tropical region for past 20 years.
- A series of polymer modified stabilizing binders and its projects are selected in this presentation because of its hundreds of proven engineering record in this region for past two decades.

1. Introduction

Construction Procedures



a) Spreading



```
b) In-Situ Mixing
```

1) In-Situ Mixing/Recycling





c) Compaction



a) Central Mixing Plant



b) Transport, lay & Compaction

2) Central Plant Mixing



2. "Floating" Semi-Rigid Platform Effect

Description

The chemically stabilized soil can function like a continuous and jointless (weak) reinforced concrete slab over the soft ground.

Basic Requirements

- Sufficient strengths and rigidity (stiff modulus with sufficient thickness)
- No cracking
- Lower permeability and minimum deformation
- No softening over the time (preferably with long-term cementation)
- Sufficient dimensions as comparing with pavement surface



2. "Floating" Semi-Rigid Platform Effect

Achievable Outcomes of the Effect

- Minimize or eliminate differential settlement of the pavement
- Reduce the total settlement
- Long-term working properties, even soaking in the water
- Consistent performances
- Less pavement maintenances
- Making the entire pavement working life longer



2. "Floating" Semi-Rigid Platform Effect

Project Examples



a) Public road over swampy area (1996, Brunei)



b) Road with higher water table (2002, Brunei)



2. <u>"Floating" Semi-Rigid Platform Effect</u>

Project Examples



Subgrade Condition

c) Oil Field Road over Swampy Region (2003, Indonesia)

d) New Well Road Functioning as Stock Yard (2003, Indonesia)



2. "Floating" Semi-Rigid Platform Effect

Project Examples



e) Public road over paddy field (2012--, Malaysia)



f) Road with higher water table (2012--, Malaysia)

3. Performances and Durability

Commonly-Used Design Criteria for Soil Stabilization

- Sub-Grade and Sub-base (generally at 7-day): CBR \ge 30 - 60%; and/or UCS \ge 0.7 - 1.5 MPa
- Base (at 7-day): CBR ≥ 80 -120%, and/or UCS ≥ 1.5 - 5.0 MPa
- Achievable Resilient Modulus MR and Modulus of Sub-Grade Reaction k (at 28-day) MR:1,000 - 5,000 MPa, and k: 400 – 900 MPa/m
- Achievable Coefficient of Permeability (at 28-day) Range: 10E-7 – 10E-11 m/s
- Chemical Binder Dosage

Range: 1.75 - 3.75% (based on the dry weight of the soil to be treated)





3. Performances and Durability

ICAO Requirements on the Sub-Grade and Comparison

	Flexible Pavement Sub-grade (CBR, %)				Rigid Pavement Sub-grade (k*, MN/m3 or MPa/m)				
Designs									
	A	В	C	D	A	В	С	D	Remarks
Class	13	10	6	3	150	80	40	20	
	(>13)	(8-13)	(4-0)	(<4)	(>120)	(00-120)	(23-00)	(<23)	
Highest requirements	≥15	-	-	-	≥120	_	-	-	*k: modulus of sub- grade reaction.
Chemical-Soil Stabilization Method	≥30	-	_	_	≥ 300	_	-	_	Easily achievable based on the project data

3. Performances and Durability



<u>A Public Road over Swampy Area (Brunei, 1995)</u>

- Average CBR & UCS at 7-day 100% & 2MPa
- Modulus of sub-grade reaction, k, at 28-day • Range: 400 - 800 MN/m3 or MPa/m
- CBR & UCS at 10-year • 150-200% & 3-4 MPa

М	VP Test	I	In-Situ CBR Test (%)	UCS Test (MPa)		
No. <u>of</u> Blows	Depth of Penetration (mm)	Peak Pressure (MPa)	Settlement Recorded (mm)	Modulus Of S/R, K (MPa/m)		
300	6.3	1.72	7.44	522.62 (Max. 812.48)	100 (Max. 129)	2.04 (Max. 2.67)

3) UCS Test

- Unconfined Compressive Strength Test



a) Stabilized soil samples at 7-d





b) Stabilized road (left) vs crushed stone road c) Stabilized surface after 10 years Page 12/27

3. Performances and Durability



Batam Ship Yard (Indonesia, 1996)

- Area is > 200,000m2
- Average construction speed: 8,000m2/day/layer (300mm thick)
- The stabilized soils support reinforced concrete
- 1,000–2,000t ships directly sitting on the rails supported by the reinforced concrete



a) Stabilization in process



b) Completed surface of the stabilization

3. Performances and Durability



• Stabilized soil as sub-base course (350mm)



<u>A Typical Cross Section Design</u>



3. Performances and Durability

Average Testing Data (2.5% binder with sandy soils)

- In-situ CBR at 7-day 81.3%
- UCS
 i) 4-day soaked: 1.30 MPa
 ii) 7-day un-soaked: 1.66 MPa
- Compaction Degree 97.5%
- Modulus of sub-grade reaction 780 MPa/m



3. <u>Performances and Durability</u>



Confirmation

This expressway, somehow functioning like local central expressway, has well performed for **past 18-21 years** without any significant defects and major repairs.





Project Record

Project: Jalan Tutong Widening (Phase III) -- Cross-Section Cutting Process Location: Brunei Darussalam Condition: Swampy Area with High Water Table Methodology: Chemilink Soil Stabilization Year of Construction: 1997 Year of Cutting: 1999 Video Taken: 1999 – Verify Overall Performances

Chemilink Technologies Group, Singapore



4. Case Studies

4-1. Changi International Airport Runway Widening (2005)

Note: <u>One of the 1st international airports to be ready for A380 and the</u> <u>construction video refers to Discovery Channel, "Man Made Marvels", Oct. 2008.</u>



Two Runways Widening Details



4. Case Studies

4-1. Changi International Airport Runway Widening (2005)

Construction Procedures for Two Runways



a) Spreading



b) In-Situ Mixing



c) Completion

- Completed in 60 working days
- Average 217m/w. day
- 3 months ahead the schedule
- 12pm 2am 7am 8am 1am 3am 4am 5am 6am Runway Closure Construction Time Excavation Construction Steps Spreading Mixing Compaction Paving AC

Notes: Runway Closure Time : 1:00am ~ 7:00am Effective Construction Time : 2:00am ~ 6:00am Average Area per 4 Working Hours: 250m by 4.5m or 225m2/hour

Construction Schedule for Runway Widening at Singapore Changi Airport



4. <u>Case Studies</u>

4-1. Changi International Airport Runway Widening (2005)





4. <u>Case Studies</u>

4-2. Senai International Airport Runway & Taxiways Widening (2007-2008)



Typical Widening Cross Sections for

- 1) <u>Runway (300mm base, half strength, 2007); and</u>
- 2) <u>Taxiway (300mm sub-base, full strength, 2008)</u>



4. <u>Case Studies</u>

4-2. Senai International Airport Runway & Taxiways Widening (2007-2008)

Technical Challenges

- Higher clay/silt content (> 80%)
- Higher LL (up to 88%) & PI (up to 46%)
- Higher in-situ moisture content (up to 2 x OMC)

SENAI AIRPORT RUNWAY SHOULDER WIDENING Soil Investigation Summary

NO	LOCATION	DEPTH	INSITU	OMC	MDD	LL	PI	CLAY&SILT	SAND	GRAVEL
		(mm)	MC (%)	(%)	(Mg/m3)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
		150~450	depth at							
		mm	350mm							
6	P6	350	23.59	15.00	1.74	73	36	54.80	32.40	12.80
7	P7	350	30.08	22.00	1.49	88	37	78.80	19.20	2.00
8	P8	350	41.63	18.00	1.54	76	31	70.40	2.60	27.00
11	P11	350	27.38	19.00	1.68	62	33	66.80	33.20	0.00
12	P12	350	38.74	19.00	1.55	79	46	82.70	17.20	0.10
13	P13	350	21.37	17.00	1.71	56	23	62.20	30.60	7.20



4. Case Studies

4-2. Senai International Airport Runway & Taxiways Widening (2007-2008)



Achievable Compaction Degree (CD)



4. <u>Case Studies</u>

4-2. Senai International Airport Runway & Taxiway Widenings (2007-2008)



CBR and UCS Testing Results (7-day)



4. Case Studies

4-2. Senai International Airport Runway & Taxiway Widenings (2007-2008)



Achievable Resilient Modulus Results (28-day)



6. Conclusions

1) Chemical-soil stabilization method is a proven engineering solution for pavement construction in tropical region. Stabilized soils can be used as sub-grade, sub-base and even base for various pavements.

2) This method is remarkably green, environment-friendly and sustainable.

3) It can achieve superior construction quality and higher technical properties and performances as comparing with conventional ways.

4) Past more than 20 years engineering practice has confirmed that this method with the appropriate binders can be very much durable, even over soft ground or swampy area with high water table.

5) This construction approach is very suitable for airfield infrastructural construction under airport operational constrains.

ICPT2017 -126



References

Koh, M.S., Lim, B.C. and Wu, D.Q. (2005). Chemical-Soil Stabilization for Runway Shoulders Widening at Singapore Changi Airport, 4th Asia Pacific Conference on Transportation and Environment (4th APTE Conference), Nov. 8-10 2005, Xi'an, PR China.

Lee M., Tan P.C., Daud and Wu D.Q. (2010). Green Approach to Rural Roads Construction – Stabilization of In-situ Soils and Construction Wastes, the 7th Asia Pacific Conference on Transportation and the Environment, June 03-05, 2010, Semarang, Indonesia.

Liu, Q., Wu, D.Q. and Gui, Z. (2004). The Application of Non-Standard Stabilizers to the Base Course of Rural Roads, 1st International Conference on Sustainable Waste Management, June 10-12, 2004, Singapore, pp. 178-190.

Suhaimi, H.G. and Wu, D.Q. (2003). Review of Chemical Stabilization Technologies and Applications for Public Roads in Brunei Darussalam, REAAA Journal (The Journal of Road Engineering Association of Asia and Australia), Vol. 10, No.1 PP7021/8/2003, pp. 42-53.

Yong, T.C. and Wu, D.Q. (1999). Chemical Stabilization for Road Construction in Brunei Darussalam, The First International Conference on Transportation for Developing Countries on Threshold of the 21st Century, Nov. 18-19, 1999, Hanoi, Vietnam, pp. I.26 – I.32.

Wu, Dong Qing (2011). A Green and Effective Approach for Pavements in Tropical Region, the 24th ICTPA Annual Conference & NACGEA International Symposium on Geo-Trans, May 27-29, 2011 – Hyatt Regency Irvine, Los Angeles, California, USA, Paper No. S3-008, pp. 1-12, the Proceeding of the Conference (ISBN 978-0-615-42857-4; the Invited Key-Note Presentation with Outstanding Presentation Award).

Wu, D.Q., Shaun Kumar and Tan, P.C. (2008). Chemical-Clay Stabilization for Runway Widening at Sultan Ismail International Airport, Malaysia, 13th Singapore Symposium on Pavement Technology (SPT 2008), May 23, 2008, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

Wu, D.Q. and Tan, P.C. (2008). Chemilink Stabilization Technologies for Roads and Airfields, Seminar on Soil Subgrade Stabilization, Public Works Department (JKR) of Malaysia and Road Engineering Association of Malaysia, July 15, 2008, Legend Hotel, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (key-note speaker).

Wu, D.Q. and Zhang Y.L. (2016). Pavement Strengthening by In-Situ Rehabilitation & Semi-Rigid Pavement Methods, the Proceedings of Bridging the East and West: Theories and Practices of Transportation in the Asia Pacific (via 11th Asia Pacific Transportation Development Conference and 29th ICTPA Annual Conference) and a selected paper from the proceedings and published by ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers), pp. 123-132, May 27-29, 2016, Hsinchu, Taiwan, China.







Thank You!







